IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BARBARA JOHNSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 4:20-cv-795

AMIGOS RETAIL MANAGEMENT, LLC, and AMINMOHAMED A. MAKHANI

DEFENDANTS

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT—COLLECTIVE ACTION

COMES NOW Plaintiff Barbara Johnson ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through her attorneys Merideth Q. McEntire and Josh Sanford of the Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Original Complaint—Collective Action against Defendants Amigos Retail Management, LLC, and Aminmohamed A. Makhani (collectively "Defendant" or "Defendants"), she does hereby state and allege as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other hourly-paid cashiers employed by Defendants, brings this action under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), for declaratory judgment, monetary damages, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, and costs, including a reasonable attorney's fee, as a result of Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and all others similarly situated an overtime premium as required by the FLSA.
- 2. Upon information and belief, for at least three (3) years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendants have willfully and intentionally committed violations of the FLSA as described, *infra*.

Page 1 of 10

Barbara Johnson, et al. v. Amigos Retail Management, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:20-cv-795

Original Complaint—Collective Action

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas has subject matter jurisdiction over this suit under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this suit raises federal questions under the FLSA.
- 4. The acts complained of herein were committed and had their principal effect against Plaintiff within the Houston Division of the Southern District of Texas. Therefore, venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. THE PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Harris County.
- 7. Separate Defendant Amigos Retail Management, LLC ("ARM"), is a domestic limited liability company.
- 8. Defendant ARM's registered agent for service is Aminmohamed A. Makhani at 24607 Long Arrow, San Antonio, Texas 78258.
 - Defendant ARM does business as Amigo's Express.
- 10. Separate Defendant Aminmohamed A. Makhani ("Makhani") is an individual and resident of Texas.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth in this section.
 - 16. Defendant operates a chain of convenience stores in Texas.
 - 17. Makhani is an owner, principal, officer and/or director of ARM.
- 18. Makhani manages and controls the day-to-day operations of ARM, including but not limited to the decision to not pay Plaintiff an overtime premium.

19. Defendant's annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not

less than \$500,000.00 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately

stated) during each of the three calendar years preceding the filing of this Complaint.

20. During each of the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,

Defendant employed at least two individuals who were engaged in interstate commerce

or in the production of goods for interstate commerce, or had employees handling, selling,

or otherwise working on goods or materials that had been moved in or produced for

commerce by any person, such as food and beverages.

21. Defendant was Plaintiff's employer and the employer of the proposed

collective within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) at all times relevant to this

lawsuit.

22. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant at Defendant's convenience store

location in Houston during the time period relevant to this lawsuit.

23. Plaintiff worked for Defendant during the three years preceding the filing of

this Complaint.

24. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights,

protection and benefits provided under the FLSA.

25. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a cashier from November of 2019

until February of 2020.

26. Plaintiff and other cashiers worked as hourly, non-exempt employees at

Amigo's Express.

27. Plaintiff and other cashiers regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours

per week.

Page 3 of 10
Barbara Johnson, et al. v. Amigos Retail Management, LLC, et al.
U.S.D.C. (E.D. Ark.) Case No. 4:20-cv-795
Original Complaint—Collective Action

- 28. Defendant paid Plaintiff and other cashiers their regular hourly rate for all hours worked, including hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 29. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and other cashiers an overtime premium of one and one half (1.5) times their regular hourly rate for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.
- 30. Defendant knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, the way it paid Plaintiff and other cashiers violated the FLSA.

V. REPRESENTATIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all other similarly situated cashiers have been entitled to the rights, protections and benefits provided by the FLSA.
- 35. Plaintiff brings her claims for relief for violation of the FLSA as a collective action pursuant to Section 16(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 36. Plaintiff brings her FLSA claim on behalf of all hourly-paid cashiers who were employed by Defendant at any time within the applicable statute of limitations period, who are entitled to payment of the following types of damages:
 - A. An overtime premium for all hours worked in excess forty (40) in a week;
 - B. Liquidated damages; and
 - C. Attorneys' fees and costs.
- 37. In conformity with the requirements of FLSA Section 16(b), Plaintiff has filed or will soon file her written Consent to Join this lawsuit.

38. The relevant time period dates back three years from the date on which Plaintiff's Original Complaint—Collective Action was filed herein and continues forward

through the date of judgment pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

39. The members of the proposed FLSA Collective are similarly situated in that

they share these traits:

A. They were classified as non-exempt from the overtime requirements of the

FSLA and paid an hourly rate;

B. They were subject to Defendant's common policy of failing to pay an

overtime premium for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week;

C. They had the same or substantially similar job duties; and

D. They recorded their time the same way.

40. Plaintiff is unable to state the exact number of the potential members of the

FLSA Collective but believe that the group exceeds fifty (50) persons.

41. Defendant can readily identify the members of the Section 16(b) Collective.

The names and physical addresses, email addresses and phone numbers of the FLSA

collective action plaintiffs are available from Defendant, and a Court-approved Notice

should be provided to the FLSA collective action plaintiffs via first class mail, email and

text message to their last known physical and electronic mailing addresses and cell phone

numbers as soon as possible, together with other documents and information descriptive

of Plaintiff's FLSA claim.

42. At all relevant times, Defendant directly hired members of the FLSA

Collective to work for its chain of convenience stores, paid them wages, controlled their

work schedules, duties, protocols, applications, assignments and employment conditions,

and kept at least some records regarding their employment.

43. At all relevant times, each member of the FLSA Collective regularly

engaged in interstate commerce or handled, sold, or otherwise worked with goods or

materials that had been moved in or produced for interstate commerce.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Collective Action Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

44. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as

though fully set forth herein.

45. This is a collective action filed on behalf of all hourly-paid cashiers employed

by Defendant to recover monetary damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiff and members

of the putative collective for unpaid overtime wages because they were not paid a rate of

one and one half (1.5) times their regular rate for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per

week.

46. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated

employees, former and present, who were and/or are affected by Defendant's willful and

intentional violation of the FLSA.

47. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees have been

entitled to the rights, protection, and benefits provided by the FLSA.

48. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees have been

"employees" of Defendant, as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

49. At all relevant times, Defendant was an "employer" of Plaintiff and all other

similarly situated employees, as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

50. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees an

Page 6 of 10

overtime premium for hours worked in excess of forty (40) per week.

51. Because these employees are similarly situated to Plaintiff, and are owed compensation for the same reasons, the proposed collective is properly defined as follows:

All hourly cashiers who worked more than forty hours in any week within the past three years.

- 52. At all relevant times, Defendant willfully failed and refused to pay Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees a proper overtime premium under the FLSA because Defendant paid Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees their regular hourly rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a week.
- 53. Defendant's violations entitle Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees to compensatory damages calculated as the full amount of overtime wages owed.
- 54. Defendant's violations entitle Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees to liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of an amount equal to compensatory damages.
- 55. Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees are entitled to an award of their attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Individual Claim for Violation of the FLSA)

- 56. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 57. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been entitled to the rights, protection, and benefits provided by the FLSA.

58. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has been an "employee" of Defendant as

defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

59. At all relevant times, Defendant was an "employer" of Plaintiff as defined

by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

60. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff an overtime premium as required under

the FLSA.

61. At all relevant times, Defendant willfully failed and refused to pay Plaintiff

a proper overtime premium under the FLSA because Defendant paid Plaintiff her regular

hourly rate for all hours worked over forty (40) in a week.

62. Defendant's violations entitle Plaintiff to compensatory damages

calculated as the full amount of overtime wages owed.

63. Defendant's violations entitle Plaintiff to liquidated damages pursuant to

29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of an amount equal to compensatory damages.

64. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of her attorney's fees and court costs

pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff Barbara Johnson, individually and

on behalf of all others similarly situated, respectfully requests this Court grant the

following relief:

A. That each Defendant be summoned to appear and answer herein;

B. That Defendant be required to account to Plaintiff, the collective members

and the Court for all of the hours worked by Plaintiff and the collective members and all

monies paid to them;

- C. A declaratory judgment that Defendant's practices alleged herein violate the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516, et seq.;
- D. Certification of, and proper notice to, together with an opportunity to participate in the litigation, all qualifying current and former employees;
- E. Judgment for damages for all unpaid back wages at the proper overtime rate owed to Plaintiff and members of the collective from a period of three (3) years prior to this lawsuit through the date of trial under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516, et seq.;
- F. Judgment for liquidated damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 US.C. § 201, et seq., and attendant regulations at 29 C.F.R. § 516, et seq.;
- G. An order directing Defendant to pay Plaintiff and members of the collective pre-judgment interest, reasonable attorney's fees and all costs connected with this action; and
- H. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

BARBARA JOHNSON, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, PLAINTIFF

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC One Financial Center 650 South Shackleford, Suite 411 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (501) 221-0088 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

Merideth Q. McEntire Tex. Bar No. 24105123

merideth@sanfordlawfirm.com

Josh Sanford

Tex. Bar No. 24077858 josh@sanfordlawfirm.com